arXiv:1610.08575v1 [cs.DM] 26 Oct 2016

Minimal unsatisfiability and deficiency: recent developments

Oliver Kullmann
Computer Science Department
Swansea University
Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

October 28, 2016

Abstract

Starting with Aharoni and Linial [1], the *deficiency* $\delta(F) = c(F) - n(F) \geq 1$ for minimally unsatisfiable clause-sets $F \in \mathcal{MU}$, the difference of the number of clauses and the number of variables, is playing an important role in investigations into the structure of $\mathcal{MU}$. In my talk[1)] I want to give a high-level overview on recent developments.

$\mathcal{MU}$ is the set of clause-sets F, which are unsatisfiable, while removal of any clause renders F satisfiable; one can say that $F \in \mathcal{MU}$ ("MU") presents a "single reason" for unsatisfiability, while a general unsatisfiable F may contain many $F' \subseteq F$ with $F' \in \mathcal{MU}$ ("MUSs"), and thus has "many reasons" for unsatisfiability (see [18] for a recent work on finding MUSs).

Using $n(F)$ for the number of variables actually occurring in F and $c(F) := |F|$ for the number of clauses, the *deficiency* is $\delta(F) := c(F) - n(F)$. There are many proofs of "Tarsi's Lemma" $\forall\, F \in \mathcal{MU} : \delta(F) \geq 1$ (for a recent overview on them see the introduction of [16]). By [6] we know that deficiency is a proper complexity parameter, each level $\mathcal{MU}_{\delta=k} := \{F \in \mathcal{MU} : \delta(F) = k\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ polytime-decidable, with growing complexity. The level $k = 1$ is well-known ([5]), the level $k = 2$ also quite well ([9, 14]), while beyond that for general $\mathcal{MU}$ still not much is known (though slowly this is changing). A general overview on $\mathcal{MU}$ is given in [10], while a recent extensive overview, also discussing the various connections to combinatorics, is the introduction of [16]. Currently there are (at least) developments in the following areas concerning $\mathcal{MU}$ as layered by deficiency:

- The *minimum* degree of variables (minimum number of occurrences) is studied in [13, 16], which seems an intricate problem, especially when looking for precise numbers. The maximum over all minimum degrees for deficiency k is denoted by $\mathrm{VDM}(k)$.
- Knowing $\mathrm{VDM}(1) = 2$ (i.e., for deficiency 1 there must exist a variable occurring only twice), it is relatively easy to gain understanding of $\mathcal{MU}_{\delta=1}$, while $\mathrm{VDM}(2) = 4$ leaves some work for $\mathcal{MU}_{\delta=2}$, but is a good start. The next frontier is $\mathrm{VDM}(3) = 5$ — so $F \in \mathcal{MU}_{\delta=3}$ has a variable occurring in one sign at most 3 times, and in the other sign at most 2 times, and by this information there is a chance to reconstruct F from $\mathcal{MU}_{\delta\leq 2}$.
- A refined parameter is the number $\mathrm{fc}(F)$ of *full clauses* for $F \in \mathcal{MU}$, the number of clauses $C \in F$ with $|C| = n(F)$, where the maximum for deficiency

[1)] `http://cs.swan.ac.uk/~csoliver/papers.html#BORDEAUX2016`

k is denoted by $\mathrm{FCM}(k)$; obviously we have $\mathrm{FCM}(k) \leq \mathrm{VDM}(k)$. We have $\mathrm{FCM}(1) = 2$, $\mathrm{FCM}(2) = 4$ (exercise: find the easy examples), and $\mathrm{FCM}(3) = 4 = \mathrm{VDM}(3) - 1$ ([16]; creating an example is a bit tougher now, and the upper bound needs some insight).

- An important restriction for us is $\mathcal{UHIT} \subset \mathcal{MU}$, the set of unsatisfiable *hitting clause-sets*, that is, where every two different clauses have at least one clashing literal pair. Considering only such $F \in \mathcal{UHIT}$, the maximum of $\mathrm{fc}(F)$ over $\mathcal{UHIT}_{\delta=k}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{FCH}(k) \leq \mathrm{FCM}(k)$. This parameter is studied in [15], drawing new connections to number theory and the study of certain recursions, started in [7, Page 145], and today called "meta-Fibonacci recurrences" ([4]).

- The big theorem on the horizon, explaining the structure of $\mathcal{MU}$, is a proof (and formulation) of the *Finite Patterns Conjecture*, as discussed in the outlook of [16]: for every deficiency k we can describe the elements of $\mathcal{MU}_{\delta=k}$ via finitely many "patterns" (currently for $k \geq 3$ even the precise meaning of "pattern" is not known).

- For $\mathcal{UHIT}$ this can be said precisely: the conjecture is that the number of variables of $F \in \mathcal{UHIT}_{\delta=k}$ for fixed k is bounded, after elimination of "singular" variables, that is, variables occurring in one sign only once. And indeed we conjecture the maximum to be equal $4k-5$ for $k \geq 2$. For $k = 2$ this is known, while we established it for $k = 3$ in [17]. This (non-trivial) proof uses a variety of *reductions*, the most basic one being *singular DP-reduction*, DP-reduction (also called "variable elimination") applied to a singular variable.

- The study of singular DP-reduction for $\mathcal{MU}$ is the topic of [14], containing results related to *confluence* (the result of the reduction is independent of the choices made during the (nondeterministic) reduction). The main result here is, that in general the number of variables in the result is unique, while for deficiency 2 moreover the isomorphism type of the result is unique.

- More generally the concept of *clause-irreducibility* is developed in [17] (with a forerunner in number theory; see [11, 2]). This surprisingly powerful concept says, that an unsatisfiable F is clause-irreducible iff there is no $F' \subset F$ with $c(F') > 1$ such that F' is logically equivalent to a single clause.

I want to motivate and give examples for the above developments in my talk. An interesting algorithmic problem is posed in [16]:

1. A general upper bound on $\mathrm{VDM}(k)$ is developed, and it is shown that this bound is (precisely) sharp for *lean clause-sets*, which are clause-sets without non-trivial autarkies (lean clause-sets were introduced in [12], but indeed already implicitly considered in [8] via "weak satisfiability").

2. As an aside, it is interesting to note here, that the bound is already sharp for *variable-minimal unsatisfiable* clause-sets, as introduced in [3], and further studied in [16], also correcting various errors from [3].

3. So, if the bound is violated, then there must be an autarky!

4. We can indeed simulate the corresponding autarky reduction (as introduced in [19], removing the clauses satisfied by the autarky) in polynomial time, exploiting the refinement of deficiency by *surplus*, as first studied in [20].

5. But how to *find* the autarky in polynomial time is an open problem. The underlying problem is to find for some polytime decidable class of satisfiable clause-sets a satisfying assignment in polynomial time.

References

[1] Ron Aharoni and Nathan Linial. Minimal non-two-colorable hypergraphs and minimal unsatisfiable formulas. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 43(2):196–204, November 1986. doi:10.1016/0097-3165(86)90060-9.

[2] Marc A. Berger, Alexander Felzenbaum, and Aviezri S. Fraenkel. Irreducible disjoint covering systems (with an application to boolean algebra). *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 29(2-3):143–164, December 1990. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(90)90140-8.

[3] Zhenyu Chen and Decheng Ding. Variable minimal unsatisfiability. In Jin-Yi Cai, S. Barry Cooper, and Angsheng Li, editors, *Theory and Applications of Models of Computation*, volume 3959 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 262–273. Springer, 2006. doi:10.1007/11750321_25.

[4] B.W. Conolly. Meta-Fibonacci sequences (a letter from B.W. Conolly). In *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers and the Golden Section*, chapter 12, pages 127–138. Dover Publications, Inc., 2008. Unabridged republication of the work originally published in 1989 by Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, England.

[5] Gennady Davydov, Inna Davydova, and Hans Kleine Büning. An efficient algorithm for the minimal unsatisfiability problem for a subclass of CNF. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 23(3-4):229–245, 1998. doi:10.1023/A:1018924526592.

[6] Herbert Fleischner, Oliver Kullmann, and Stefan Szeider. Polynomial–time recognition of minimal unsatisfiable formulas with fixed clause–variable difference. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 289(1):503–516, November 2002. doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(01)00337-1.

[7] Douglas R. Hofstadter. *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An eternal golden braid*. Basic Books, 1979. Pdf version with 801 pages, md5sum="0cb32e8ea5dd2485f63842f5acffb3f0 GEBen.pdf". Available from: http://www.physixfan.com/wp-content/files/GEBen.pdf.

[8] Victor Klee and Richard Ladner. Qualitative matrices: Strong sign-solvability and weak satisfiability. In Harvey J. Greenberg and John S. Maybee, editors, *Computer-Assisted Analysis and Model Simplification*, pages 293–320, 1981. Proceedings of the First Symposium on Computer-Assisted Analysis and Model Simplification, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, March 28, 1980. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-299680-1.50022-7.

[9] Hans Kleine Büning. On subclasses of minimal unsatisfiable formulas. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 107(1-3):83–98, 2000. doi:10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00245-6.

[10] Hans Kleine Büning and Oliver Kullmann. Minimal unsatisfiability and autarkies. In Armin Biere, Marijn J.H. Heule, Hans van Maaren, and Toby Walsh, editors, *Handbook of Satisfiability*, volume 185 of *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, chapter 11, pages 339–401. IOS Press, February 2009. doi:10.3233/978-1-58603-929-5-339.

[11] Ivan Korec. Irreducible disjoint covering systems. *Acta Arithmetica*, 44(4):389–395, 1984. Available from: http://pldml.icm.edu.pl/pldml/element/bwmeta1.element.bwnjournal-article-aav44i4p389bwm?q=bw

[12] Oliver Kullmann. Lean clause-sets: Generalizations of minimally unsatisfiable clause-sets. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 130:209–249, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0166-218X(02)00406-7.

[13] Oliver Kullmann and Xishun Zhao. On variables with few occurrences in conjunctive normal forms. In Laurent Simon and Karem Sakallah, editors, *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2011*, volume 6695 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 33–46. Springer, 2011. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-21581-0_5.

[14] Oliver Kullmann and Xishun Zhao. On Davis-Putnam reductions for minimally unsatisfiable clause-sets. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 492:70–87, June 2013. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2013.04.020.

[15] Oliver Kullmann and Xishun Zhao. Parameters for minimal unsatisfiability: Smarandache primitive numbers and full clauses. Technical Report arXiv:1505.02318v2 [cs.DM], arXiv, July 2015. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02318.

[16] Oliver Kullmann and Xishun Zhao. Bounds for variables with few occurrences in conjunctive normal forms. Technical Report arXiv:1408.0629v4 [math.CO], arXiv, April 2016. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0629.

[17] Oliver Kullmann and Xishun Zhao. Unsatisfiable hitting clause-sets with three more clauses than variables. Technical Report arXiv:1604.01288v1 [cs.DM], arXiv, April 2016. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.01288.

[18] Mark H. Liffiton, Alessandro Previti, Ammar Malik, and Joao Marques-Silva. Fast, flexible MUS enumeration. *Constraints*, 21(2):223–250, April 2016. doi:10.1007/s10601-015-9183-0.

[19] B. Monien and Ewald Speckenmeyer. Solving satisfiability in less than 2^n steps. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 10(3):287–295, March 1985. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(85)90050-2.

[20] Stefan Szeider. Minimal unsatisfiable formulas with bounded clause-variable difference are fixed-parameter tractable. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 69(4):656–674, December 2004. doi:10.1016/j.jcss.2004.04.009.